IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.R.No. 5778 of 2006
Date of decision : 3.11.2006.

Harmit Singh

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Amir Singh

.....Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD K. SHARMA

Present : Mr. Kuldip Sanwal,Advocate
for the petitioner.

****

VINOD K. SHARMA,J.(ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the order dated 27.9.2006 passed by the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.),Patiala rejecting the application moved under Order 6 Rule 17 read with Section 151 C.P.C. for amendment of the plaint.

The only ground the learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as mere suit for possession is not maintainable without seeking declaration, therefore, it was necessary to amend the plaint. This contention is misconceived as mere suit for possession is competent.

No ground for interference.

Dismissed.

November 3,2006
'sp'

(VINOD K. SHARMA)
JUDGE